Improved edge strength in a facial prosthesis by incorporation of tulle: A clinical report
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This clinical report describes the use of tulle for increasing the tear resistance of a facial prosthesis. By incorporating tulle, a prosthesis’ margins may be more stable, more resistant to tearing, and less likely to deform while adhesive, cosmetics, and cleaning agents are applied and removed. (J Prosthet Dent 2003;90:526-9.)

Restoration of a mid-facial defect is a challenge for the maxillofacial prosthodontist and surgeon. The surgical limitations for treating these complex defects include compromised tissue because of radiation therapy, the need for the defect to be observed periodically for recurrence, and the physical condition of the patient. The prosthodontist is limited by the materials used for fabrication of a facial prosthesis, movable tissue beds, graft and flap applications, unsuitability of anatomic undercuts, and patient acceptance toward the use of a prosthesis.1 The aim of a facial prosthesis is to fulfill the esthetic needs of the patient and to improve the patient’s quality of life. It is important that the patient be informed regarding the esthetics that may be achieved with prosthesis and of the limitations of the materials currently used to fabricate maxillofacial prostheses because they are not yet ideal for simulating natural skin. Human skin is a multilayered structure. Each layer differs in thickness, histologic components, and pigments. Conditions such as perspiration, temperature, and ultraviolet light affect the color and reflective properties of skin.1 If the patient’s expectations and limitations of the prosthesis are not clearly defined, it is likely that both the prosthodontist and the patient will be disappointed once the prosthesis is completed.1,2

The success of any facial prosthesis depends on the physical and mechanical properties of the material used in its fabrication.3 Although there are many materials available for the fabrication of a facial prosthesis, none fulfills all the requirements for a satisfactory prosthesis.4 Discoloration and loss of mechanical and physical properties occur over time. Most degradation occurs at the margins.1-5 The margins are particularly susceptible to degradation because of poor tear resistance. As a result of the use of colorants, adhesives, cosmetic agents, solvents, and cleaners that are applied to the prosthesis, marginal breakdown occurs.6-10 The clinical life of adhesive-retained facial prosthesis averages about 6 months to 1 year before replacement is needed.11,12 Facial prostheses require frequent replacement because the elastomer and its coloring agents undergo changes.4,5,11,12 Degradation of the color or physical properties of the prosthesis are the principle reasons for replacement. Various reinforcements have been evaluated to assess their effect on the mechanical properties of a particular silicone elastomer used for preparation of a facial composition.13,14 The use of fibril or silica reinforcements has been investigated.14-17 In a study of maxillofacial elastomers reinforced with silica powder, tensile strength and elongation at fracture increased with an increasing silica volume fraction up to 35%, whereas the Young’s modulus displayed small dependence on the silica content, and the resistance to tear increased continuously with filler volume fraction; however, no satisfactory results could be obtained at the edges of the prosthesis.16

In this clinical procedure, tulle, which is used in the theater for sewing on a beard or mustache, was used to increase the tear resistance of the margin of a facial prosthesis. Tulle is fabricated in various colors, ranges of elasticity and densities, and acts as a framework inside the silicone. The incorporation of the tulle into the margins of a silicone prosthesis results in margins that are more stable, more resistant to tearing, and less likely to deform during application or removal of adhesives, cosmetics, or cleaning agents. The purpose of this article is to introduce a new technique for improving the edge strength of silicone elastomers used for the fabrication of facial prosthesis.

CLINICAL REPORT

A 43-year-old man with a resection of the right orbital and nasal area caused by basal cell carcinoma is presented (Figs. 1 and 2). After recovery from surgery, the patient was referred to the department of Maxillofacial Prosthetics, Istanbul University, for the fabrication of a facial prosthesis. The treatment plan was to fabricate a facial prosthesis, incorporating tulle, to reduce the...
problem of marginal tearing. An impression of the patient’s facial defect was made in irreversible hydrocolloid (Alginate; Zhermack, S.p.A, Padua, Italy). A backing of plaster was used as a support for impression material. The impression was poured in type V dental stone (Die Keen; Bayer Corp, South Bend, Ind). The preliminary wax sculpture of the prosthesis was assessed on the patient. After the elimination of the wax in the stone mold, 1 layer of tulle (Kryolan Gmbh, Berlin, Germany) was prepared by cutting the tulle with scissors to a size slightly larger than the prosthesis to be fabricated. Then a hole similar in shape but smaller in dimensions than the ocular portion of the prosthesis was cut in the middle of the tulle. The tulle was bonded to the fixed ocular portion of the prosthesis using auto-polymerizing resin (Orthocryl Rapid resin, D-7530; Stratford-
Cookson, Pforzheim, Germany). As a result, the ocular portion was fixed to the borders of the tulle (Fig. 3). Room temperature vulcanizing (RTV) silicone elastomer (VST50F; Factor II Inc, Lakeside, Ariz) was packed and processed in the stone mold (Fig. 4). After the complete polymerization of silicone elastomer, the mold was opened, the excess silicone was trimmed, and the edges were smoothed with a trimming kit (Factor II Inc, Lakeside, Ariz) (Fig. 5). Extrinsic color was applied to the prosthesis to match the patient’s skin tone. After the application of eyebrows and eyelashes, the prosthesis was placed on the patient (Figs. 6 and 7). Medical grade adhesive (Secure Adhesive; Factor II Inc, Lakeside, Ariz) was used for prosthesis retention, augmented by the anatomic undercuts. At the recall appointment of the first, third, and sixth month, it was observed that there was no tearing or deformation of the prosthesis’ margins related to the application of adhesives and cleaning agents. With improved material edge strength, it was easier for the patient to apply or remove adhesives, cosmetics, and cleansers without damaging the margins of the prosthesis.

SUMMARY

This article described the treatment of a patient with a mid-facial defect. A facial prosthesis was fabricated with tulle to reduce the problem of tearing at the margins.
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