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CONGENITAL HEART DISEASE

Poor sensitivity of routine fetal anomaly ultrasound screening for antenatal detection of atrioventricular septal defect

H ter Heide, J D R Thomson, G A Wharton, J L Gibbs


PATIENTS AND METHODS

All patients with AVSD born between 1996 and 2001 within the catchment region of the tertiary centre were identified by a search of prospectively collected, comprehensive diagnostic information held in the department’s electronic congenital heart disease database.

Information relating to referrals for detailed fetal echocardiography was retrieved from the same database. Obstetric ultrasound screening was performed in referring hospitals according to unit specific protocols. A four chamber view of the heart was included as part of routine fetal anomaly screening scan in all but a single unit (responsible for 1.5% of all live births in the region) in which no prenatal ultrasound screening was offered at all. None of the mothers of the children making up our study population were booked at this unit and all therefore received screening. The Leeds fetal echocardiography team provided formal, on-site training in fetal cardiac ultrasonography to 19 of the referring obstetric teams.

Detailed fetal echocardiography was offered to all patients with abnormal, possibly abnormal, or difficult four chamber views, as well as to patients with a family history of congenital heart disease, soft markers for aneuploidy, or extracardiac malformations in the fetus.

Statistical analysis

Fisher’s exact test was used to compare observed frequencies between groups.

RESULTS

Ninety two liveborn children with AVSDs were identified. Sixty four (69%) had complete defects, 11 (12%) a partial defect, and 17 (18%) an AVSD in association with complex congenital heart disease (table 1). Down’s syndrome was diagnosed in 49 children (53%).

We were unable to trace antenatal details for two (2.1%) patients in our study group; for these two children we assumed that there was no antenatal diagnosis of an AVSD.

Twenty seven of 92 cases of AVSD among liveborn infants (29.3%, 95% confidence interval (CI) 18.4 to 35.6) were identified as being born to mothers who had had detailed fetal echocardiography after routine patient screening. The majority of these defects were identified when the fetal heart was imaged as a part of routine fetal anomaly ultrasound scan. These findings are consistent with the previously published data that atrioventricular septal defects are not commonly recognized on routine obstetric anomaly ultrasound.”
detected by routine antenatal obstetric ultrasound screening. All patients with antenatally diagnosed AVSDs had been referred for detailed fetal echocardiography. In one case where a four chamber view of the fetal heart could not be obtained at screening, specialist fetal echocardiography also failed to evaluate cardiac anatomy because of gross maternal obesity and an AVSD was diagnosed postnatally.

Nine of 18 (50%, 95% CI 4.8 to 13.2) infants with AVSD in association with complex congenital heart disease were identified by routine antenatal screening.

In the 49 liveborn infants with AVSD and Down’s syndrome the AVSD (and trisomy 21) was diagnosed antenatally in only 12 (24.5%, 95% CI 6.1 to 17.9). In the 43 children born with an AVSD and normal chromosomes the cardiac abnormality was detected antenatally in 15 (34.9%, 95% CI 8.8 to 21.1; not significant).

**DISCUSSION**
Antenatal diagnosis of AVSD is of particular clinical and medicolegal importance because of its strong association with trisomy 21. Despite multiple potential anomalies in babies with trisomy 21, non-cardiac sonographic markers (for example, renal pelvic dilatation) are often subtle and are frequently missed during screening. Therefore, the ability of fetal ultrasound to detect congenital heart disease accurately in this setting is particularly important.† ‡ There is an understandable and widely held view that AVSD, particularly in the presence of a chromosomal anomaly, should not be missed at a screening scan. In low risk fetal populations, however, detection rates during screening for congenital heart disease as a whole are reported to vary from 13–92%.§ ‡ The antenatal detection rate for a consecutive series of liveborn patients with AVSD, a lesion potentially diagnosable on the standard four chamber view, has not been reported before. In our series 70% of AVSDs were diagnosed only after birth despite the majority of referring centres in our study having received basic on-site fetal echocardiography training. Although not significant, the rate of detection in babies with trisomy 21 was less than for chromosomally normal infants.

Once complex cases known to be associated with AVSDs in infants without trisomy § were removed from the analysis the detection rate was similar at 25% and 23% for infants with and without trisomy 21, respectively.

It is beyond the scope of this paper to discuss detection rates for other congenital cardiac lesions; however, the echocardiographic hallmark of the AVSD is a common atrioventricular valve, which even on a good four chamber view can appear superficially normal.

By implication AVSDs may be more difficult to detect at standard screening than lesions with more obvious structural pathology. Although training for obstetric screening units was almost universal in this series and this undoubtedly translated to a greater awareness of congenital heart disease, perhaps technical proficiency can be gained only by exposure to a large number of abnormal cases. For the average obstetric screening unit this is an unrealistic expectation. Effective screening for this important lesion may require a different strategy.

**Conclusion**
The antenatal detection rate for AVSD by standard ultrasound screening in the four chamber view is poor overall, with a trend towards being even poorer in the presence of trisomy 21.

**RESULTS**

**Table 1  Associated cardiac lesions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomy</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right atrial isomerism*</td>
<td>6 (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left atrial isomerism*</td>
<td>3 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetralogy of Fallot</td>
<td>3 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary atresia</td>
<td>3 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarctation</td>
<td>1 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double outlet right ventricle</td>
<td>1 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscular ventricular septal defect</td>
<td>1 (6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All with complex intracardiac abnormalities.

Once complex cases known to be associated with AVSDs in infants without trisomy § were removed from the analysis the detection rate was similar at 25% and 23% for infants with and without trisomy 21, respectively.
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