Endothelial inflammation in insulin resistance

Åke Sjöholm, Thomas Nyström

Epidemiological studies Interventions and mechanisms Clinical perspectives

Lancet 2005; 365: 610-12

Department of Internal Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm South Hospital, SE-118 83 Stockholm, Sweden (Å Sjöholm MD, T Nyström MD)

Correspondence to: Dr Åke Sjöholm ake.sjoholm@sodersjukhuset.se

Context Type 2 diabetes and attendant cardiovascular morbidity are becoming major health concerns globally. Obesity-related type 2 diabetes is rapidly rising in prevalence, probably largely because of increased longevity and sedentary lifestyles. Insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes are associated with increased coronary heart disease, but the severity of glycaemia during the diabetic phase can only to a minor extent explain the increased risk. Increased levels of the acute-phase inflammatory marker, C-reactive protein (CRP), are related to insulin resistance and the metabolic syndrome, suggesting a role for chronic low-grade inflammation. CRP levels might predict the development of type 2 diabetes.

Starting point Subodh Verma and associates (*Circulation* 2004; 109: 2058-67) recently showed that CRP attenuates the survival, differentiation, and function of endothelial progenitor cells, partly by CRP reducing expression of endothelial nitric-oxide synthase. Rosiglitazone, a peroxisome-proliferator-activator receptor Y agonist, inhibits the negative effects of CRP on endothelial progenitor cells. The results are consistent with the suggestion that CRP directly promotes atherosclerotic processes and endothelial cell inflammation. CRP might thus directly trigger the development of a proinflammatory and proatherosclerotic state, leading to atherothrombosis.

Where next Cell-surface CRP receptors and signalling pathways need to be characterised. From such study might come novel drugs that will defer proinflammatory reactions leading to insulin resistance and atherothrombosis.

Type 2 diabetes is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in western societies, and is quickly approaching pandemic proportions: the number of cases is expected to rise to 300 million globally by 2025.¹ With recent trends in obesity, we can expect a momentous increase in the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in westernised populations. About 80% of all type 2 diabetes coexists with insulin resistance.¹ Endothelial dysfunction is an early abnormality in insulin-resistant states that might contribute to premature atherosclerosis.^{2,3} Subclinical chronic low-grade inflammation might be an important player in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes,⁴⁻⁶ and C-reactive protein (CRP) promotes atherosclerotic processes and endothelial cell inflammation.⁷⁻⁹

http://www.thelancet.com/journal/vol365/iss9459/full/llan.365.9459.review and opinion.32227.1

11/02/2005

Epidemiological studies

Population studies show strong correlation between proinflammatory biomarkers (such as CRP, interleukin 6, and tumour necrosis factor α) and perturbations in glucose homoeostasis, obesity, and atherosclerosis.¹⁰⁻¹³ CRP levels might be independently related to the degree of insulin resistance, independently of obesity.^{14,15} Plasma levels of high-sensitive CRP predict future cardiovascular risk, even in apparently healthy individuals.¹² In a post-hoc analysis of the predictive value of CRP for the risk of developing diabetes in the WOSCOPS cohort of middle-aged men, a dose-dependent correlation, independent of established risk factors, was indeed found.¹⁶

Type 2 diabetes might be partly precipitated or accelerated by an acute phase reaction as part of the innate immune response, in which large amounts of cytokines are released from adipose tissue.¹⁷ This process, driven by caloric excess, might be regulated by genetic factors. In this hypothesis (figure), cytokines exert toxic effects on endothelial cells and cause increased capillary permeability. Cytokines produced locally in inflamed plaques, which are common in poorly controlled diabetes, could induce oxidative stress and endothelial dysfunction that might further aggravate the atherosclerotic process.¹⁷ Mechanisms involving other adipocytokines that counter the proinflammatory actions also exist, such as the anti-inflammatory action of adiponectin which is inversely related to body-mass index and probably associated with reduced insulin resistance and atherosclerosis.¹⁸ If true, this hypothesis might have important clinical implications in that drugs that inhibit the acute phase response and inflammation might increase insulin sensitivity and possibly also halt the progressive nature of type 2 diabetes.



Figure: Induction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and inflammation (NF- κ B activation) by macronutrient intake, obesity, free fatty acids, leptin, infection, smoking, mental stress, and genetic factors

Interference with insulin signalling (insulin resistance) leads to hyperglycaemia and proinflammatory changes. Proinflammatory changes (increased tumour necrosis factor α [TNF α] and interleukin 6 [IL-6]) also lead to inhibition of insulin signalling and insulin resistance. Inflammation in ß cells leads to ß-cell dysfunction which, with insulin resistance, leads to type 2 diabetes. T1D=type 1 diabetes, T2D=type 2 diabetes. From reference 2 with permission.

- top

Interventions and mechanisms

CAPPP was the first controlled study to show that captopril, an angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor, decreased the risk of developing type 2 diabetes in hypertensive patients.¹⁹ The study was designed to compare the effect of captopril with conventional antihypertensive treatment on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. There were 11% fewer patients with new-onset type 2 diabetes in the captopril group than in those on conventional treatment.

These results were confirmed in HOPE, in which 10 mg ramipril daily was given as monotherapy or add-on to conventional treatment.²⁰ Over 4 years, ramipril caused a 33% relative-risk reduction of developing diabetes.²⁰ In LIFE, the angiotensin-receptor blocker losartan

reduced the relative risk of getting diabetes by 25% compared with the ß-blocker atenolol.²¹ However, since this trial was not placebocontrolled, the lowered diabetes incidence probably reflects the net effect of increased insulin sensitivity by losartan and increased insulin resistance by atenolol.

Similar findings were reported with candesartan in ALPINE and CHARM.²²⁻²⁴ CHARM was placebo-controlled and therefore very informative: candesartan evoked a 22% relative-risk reduction of developing diabetes in 7601 patients,²² and a 39% decrease in the relative risk of getting diabetes in patients with congestive heart failure class II-IV with ejection fraction of 40% or higher.²³

The renin-angiotensin system might be activated in insulin-resistant states, resulting in increased oxidative stress in vascular endothelial cells.²⁵ The mean arterial pressure is also higher during hyperglycaemic than euglycaemic conditions, and responds well to losartan, whereas the response to losartan during euglycaemia is minimal.²⁶ Moreover, the renal vasodilator response to captopril and eprosartan is enhanced during hyperglycaemia, suggesting that hyperglycaemia leads to increased renal vascular tone mediated by angiotensin II.²⁷

Many of the above studies did not have diabetes incidence as a primary endpoint,²⁸ although such studies are in progress. Alternative explanations to the metabolic effects of angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin-receptor blockers should be considered. One trivial explanation for the improvement in insulin sensitivity could be that blood flow through the microvasculature to insulin-sensitive tissues increases after treatment with certain vasoactive substances, thus allowing more insulin to reach metabolically active tissue. Alternatively, blockade of the renin-angiotensin system might reduce the risk of diabetogenesis by stimulating proliferation and differentiation of adipocytes.²⁹ Thus, ectopic fat storage (eg, in liver, skeletal muscle, and pancreas), which is associated with insulin resistance, would be prevented. This mechanism, if it can be confirmed, is in good agreement with the manner in which glitazones reduce insulin resistance in patients with type 2 diabetes.^{3,6,17} Nonetheless, whatever the mechanism, the net effect would still be a reduced risk of diabetes.

In WOSCOPS, post hoc, pravastatin lowered by 30% the relative risk of developing diabetes compared with placebo over 4·9 years, and this effect was paralleled by a decrease in CRP.³⁰ Rapid CRP-lowering effects of pravastatin were also reported in the prospective PRINCE trial,³¹ and occurred independently of decreases in LDL-cholesterol levels. There appear to be important differences between the statins in this regard, as pravastatin is in a class of its own in terms of preventing diabetes. For instance, no such effect was reported in the Heart Protection Study, in which simvastatin was used.³² Suggestively, pravastatin differs in several respects from other statins, with the possible exception of rosuvastatin, for which there are as yet no data on mortality.

The glitazone class of insulin-sensitising agents--which have been introduced for type 2 diabetes and insulin resistance--activate peroxisome-proliferator-activator receptor Υ (PPAR- Υ) in the cell nucleus, where it influences a range of transcription factors.³³ Glitazones ameliorate endothelial dysfunction in patients with diabetes, and lower inflammatory markers and reactive oxygen species in serum.^{6,17,33} Within a week, glitazones can reduce CRP by 30%; the corresponding effect of statins is only 14%.^{2,17} Data on other antidiabetic agents are scarce, but metformin, but not sulphonylurea, might have similar anti-inflammatory activity.^{3,4,12,17}

It has long been debated whether insulin causes atherogenesis, which has contributed to reluctance to prescribe insulin to patients with early type 2 diabetes. Insulin's role in inflammation and atherogenesis remains controversial, not least because of our inability to distinguish between insulin resistance and insulin as possible proinflammatory cardiovascular risk factors. The evidence implicating

insulin as an atherogenic hormone includes data from animal studies, in-vitro studies, and prospective epidemiological studies.³⁴ Insulin also causes in-vitro proliferation of smooth muscle cells in animal models and in human beings.³⁴ Thus insulin could promote atherogenesis by direct action on the arterial wall. Several prospective epidemiological studies have tested the hypothesis that the circulating insulin concentration is a cardiovascular risk factor.³⁵ None of these studies, however, distinguished between insulin and insulin resistance as the possible atherogenic factor, and proinsulin was not separated from insulin. It might be the other way around-that the insulin resistance itself, by production of proinflammatory cytokines, induces atherogenesis and that the hyperinsulinaemia could be the body's compensatory attempts to suppress the inflammation and overcome insulin resistance.^{2,3,12,17,36} Eventually, however, the total amount of insulin secreted by the pancreas is also depressed after several years of insulin resistance--ie, ßcells become exhausted, implying that, eventually, prevailing insulin concentrations will not be sufficient to counter the overwhelmingly strong insulin resistance.

In obese human beings, infusion of low doses of insulin reduces the production of reactive oxygen species in leucocytes (which increases the bioavailability of the anti-inflammatory nitric oxide), and lowers CRP and other inflammatory markers in serum.^{2,3,6,17} The effect corresponded quantitatively to that of an intravenous dose of 100 mg hydrocortisone. It appeared rapidly, within 2 h of infusion, and receded quickly. In addition, in-vivo studies show that insulin acts via nitric-oxide-mediated mechanisms to suppress synthesis of proinflammatory substances in endothelial cells from human aorta.^{2,3,6,17,36} A vicious circle can also develop: decreased insulin sensitivity in organs such as skeletal muscle, adipose tissue, and liver leads to increased inflammatory activity, which in turn leads to further reductions of insulin sensitivity. Moreover, glucose has proinflammatory effects: it increases synthesis of reactive oxygen species and accentuates several inflammatory markers in vitro.^{6,12,17} Similarly, under hyperglycaemic clamp conditions, if endogenous insulin secretion is suppressed with somatostatin, the synthesis of interleukin 6 and tumour necrosis factor α increases.^{6,12,17}

Clinical perspectives

That subclinical chronic inflammation might be an important pathogenetic factor in the development of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes opens new perspectives for diagnosis and treatment of early insulin resistance and incipient glucose intolerance. More specific and sensitive biomarkers should be identified, to predict early disturbances in insulin sensitivity and cardiovascular risk. Inflammatory signalling pathways need to be explored in greater detail, as possible drug targets.

We have received financial support from the Swedish Medical Research Council, the Nutricia Research Foundation, the European Foundation for the Study of Diabetes, the Swedish Society of Medicine, the Sigurd and Elsa Golje Memorial Foundation, Svenska Försäkringsföreningen, Svenska Diabetesstiftelsen, Berth von Kantzow's Foundation, and Stiftelsen Serafimerlasarettet.

References

1 Zimmet P, Alberti KG, Shaw J. Global and societal implications of the diabetes epidemic. Nature 2001; 414: 782-87. [PubMed]

2 Dandona P, Aljada A, Bandyopadhyay A. Inflammation: the link between insulin resistance, obesity and diabetes. *Trends Immunol* 2004; **25:** 4-7. [PubMed]

3 Dandona P, Aljada A, Chaudhuri A, Bandyopadhyay A. The potential influence of inflammation and insulin resistance on the pathogenesis and treatment of atherosclerosis-related complications in type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2003; **88**: 2422-29. [PubMed]

4 Fonseca V, Desouza C, Asnani S, Jialal I. Nontraditional risk factors for cardiovascular disease in diabetes. *Endocr Rev* 2004; **25**: 153-75. [PubMed]

5 Libby P. Inflammation in atherosclerosis. Nature 2002; 420: 868-74. [PubMed]

6 Fernandez-Real JM, Ricart W. Insulin resistance and chronic cardiovascular inflammatory syndrome. *Endocr Rev* 2003; **24:** 278-301. [PubMed]

7 Verma S, Kuliszewski MA, Li SH, et al. C-reactive protein attenuates endothelial progenitor cell survival, differentiation, and function. *Circulation* 2004; **109**: 2058-67. [PubMed]

8 Verma S, Buchanan MR, Anderson TJ. Endothelial function testing as a biomarker of vascular disease. *Circulation* 2003; **108**: 2054-59. [PubMed]

9 Verma S, Yeh ET. C-reactive protein and atherothrombosis. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 2003; **285:** R1253-56. [PubMed]

10 Han TS, Sattar N, Williams K, Gonzalez-Villalpando C, Lean ME, Haffner SM. Prospective study of C-reactive protein in relation to the development of diabetes and metabolic syndrome in the Mexico City Diabetes Study. *Diabetes Care* 2002; **25**: 2016-21. [PubMed]

11 Duncan BB, Schmidt MI, Pankow JS, et al. Low-grade systemic inflammation and the development of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2003; **52**: 1799-805. [PubMed]

12 Lyon CJ, Law RE, Hsueh WA. Minireview: adiposity, inflammation, and atherogenesis. *Endocrinology* 2003; **144:** 2195-200. [PubMed]

13 Pradhan AD, Manson JE, Rifai N, Buring JE, Ridker PM. C-reactive protein, interleukin 6, and risk of developing type 2 diabetes mellitus. *JAMA* 2001; **286**: 327-34. [PubMed]

14 Festa A, D'Agostino R Jr, Howard G, Mykkanen L, Tracy RP, Haffner SM. Chronic subclinical inflammation as part of the insulin resistance syndrome. *Circulation* 2000; **102:** 42-47. [PubMed]

15 McLaughlin T, Abbasi F, Lamendola C, et al. Differentiation between obesity and insulin resistance in the association with C-reactive protein. *Circulation* 2002; **106**: 2908-12. [PubMed]

16 Freeman DJ, Norrie J, Caslake MJ, et al. C-reactive protein is an independent predictor of risk for the development of diabetes in the West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study. *Diabetes* 2002; **51**: 1596-600. [PubMed]

http://www.thelancet.com/journal/vol365/iss9459/full/llan.365.9459.review and opinion.32227.1

11/02/2005

17 Pickup JC. Inflammation and activated innate immunity in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2004; **27:** 813-23. [PubMed]

18 Ouchi N, Kihara S, Arita Y, et al. Adiponectin, an adipocyte-derived plasma protein, inhibits endothelial NF-KB signaling through a cAMP-dependent pathway. *Circulation* 2000; **102:** 1296-301. [PubMed]

19 Hansson L, Lindholm LH, Niskanen L, et al. Effect of angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibition compared with conventional therapy on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in hypertension. *Lancet* 1999; **353:** 611-16. [Text]

20 Yusuf S, Sleight P, Pogue J, Bosch J, Davies R, Dagenais G. Effects of an angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor, ramipril, on cardiovascular events in high-risk patients. *N Engl J Med* 2000; **342**: 145-53. [PubMed]

21 Lindholm LH, Ibsen H, Borch-Johnsen K, et al. Risk of new-onset diabetes in the Losartan Intervention For Endpoint reduction in hypertension study. *J Hypertens* 2002; **20**: 1879-86. [PubMed]

22 Pfeffer MA, Swedberg K, Granger CB, for the CHARM Investigators and Committees. Effects of candesartan on mortality and morbidity in patients with chronic heart failure: the CHARM-Overall programme. *Lancet* 2003; **362**: 759-66. [Text]

23 Yusuf S, Pfeffer MA, Swedberg K, for the CHARM Investigators and Committees. Effects of candesartan in patients with chronic heart failure and preserved left-ventricular ejection fraction: the CHARM-Preserved Trial. *Lancet* 2003; **362**: 777-81. [Text]

24 Lindholm LH, Persson M, Alaupovic P, Carlberg B, Svensson A, Samuelsson O. Metabolic outcome during 1 year in newly detected hypertensives. *J Hypertens* 2003; **21:** 1563-74. [PubMed]

25 Cooper ME. The role of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system in diabetes and its vascular complications. *Am J Hypertens* 2004; **17:** 16S-20S. [PubMed]

26 Osei SY, Price DA, Laffel LM, Lansang MC, Hollenberg NK. Effect of angiotensin II antagonist eprosartan on hyperglycaemiainduced activation of intrarenal renin-angiotensin system in healthy humans. *Hypertension* 2000; **36**: 122-26. [PubMed]

27 Fiordaliso F, Leri A, Cesselli D, et al. Hyperglycaemia activates p53 and p53-regulated genes leading to myocyte cell death. *Diabetes* 2001; **50**: 2363-75. [PubMed]

28 Padwal R, Laupacis A. Antihypertensive therapy and incidence of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. *Diabetes Care* 2004; **27:** 247-55. [PubMed]

29 Sharma AM, Janke J, Gorzelniak K, Engeli S, Luft FC. Angiotensin blockade prevents type 2 diabetes by formation of fat cells. *Hypertension* 2002; **40**: 609-11. [PubMed]

30 Freeman DJ, Norrie J, Sattar N, et al. Pravastatin and the development of diabetes mellitus. *Circulation* 2001; **103:** 357-62. [PubMed]

31 Albert MA, Danielson E, Rifai N, Ridker PM. Effect of statin therapy on C-reactive protein levels: the pravastatin inflammation/CRP evaluation (PRINCE). JAMA 2001; **286:** 64-70. [PubMed]

32 MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 20 536 high-risk individuals. *Lancet* 2002; **360:** 7-22. [Text]

33 Yki-Jarvinen H. Thiazolidinediones. N Engl J Med 2004; 351: 1106-18. [PubMed]

34 Stout RW. Insulin and atheroma: 20-yr perspective. Diabetes Care 1990; 13: 631-54. [PubMed]

35 Reaven GM. Insulin resistance/compensatory hyperinsulinemia, essential hypertension, and cardiovascular disease. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2003; **88**: 2399-403. [PubMed]

36 Steinberg HO, Chaker H, Leaming R, Johnson A, Brechtel G, Baron AD. Obesity/insulin resistance is associated with endothelial dysfunction. *J Clin Invest* 1996; **97**: 2601-10. [PubMed]